

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,476	10/23/2001	Richard John Blasiak	RAL920010024US1	RAL920010024US1 5300	
45211	7590 07/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KELLY K. KORDZIK WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK PC PO BOX 50784 DALLAS, TX 75201			MERED,	MERED, HABTE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662		
		DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Occurren	10/002,476	BLASIAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Habte Mered	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/23/2001	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The label 100 quoted on Page 10, Line 20 and Page 11, Line 6 is missing in

Figure 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gopal et al (Multicasting to Multiple Groups Over Broadcast Channels, IEEE, July 1994, Pages 2423-2431), hereinafter referred to as Gopal.

Gopal discloses various methods in which the source multicasts to a number of different (and not necessarily disjoint) destination groups.

Gopal discloses a method for reliably transmitting a frame comprising the steps of: inserting two or more sequence numbers in the frame, wherein each of the two or more sequence numbers is associated with a destination node; and transmitting the frame to two or more destination nodes. (See Page 2423, 2nd Column, last two lines and Page 2424, 1st Column, Lines 1-4)

Application/Control Number: 10/002,476 Page 3

Art Unit: 2662

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 16, 30 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopal et al (Multicasting to Multiple Groups Over Broadcast Channels, IEEE, July 1994, Pages 2423-2431), hereinafter referred to as Gopal, in view of Kalkunte et al (US Pub. No. 2003/0118016), hereinafter referred to as Kalkunte.

Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 but fails to teach a method further comprising the step of inserting one or more bits in a frame header of the frame to select appropriate ports in a switch fabric to transmit the frame.

Kalkunte discloses a method of forwarding data to a specific port in a network switch.

Kalkunte discloses a method inserting one or more bits in a frame header of the frame to select appropriate ports in a switch fabric to transmit the frame. (See Paragraphs 11 and 42-46)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of bits in a header frame to specify a switch port, the motivation being increasing the

throughput and operational speed of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames.

6. Claims 3, 17, 31 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopal in view of Kalkunte, as applied to claims 2, 16, 30, and 44 above, and further in view of Bennett et al (US 2005/0021832), hereinafter referred to as Bennett.

The combination of Gopal and Kalkunte teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejections of claims 2, 16, 30, and 44 but does not disclose a method of setting a bit in a frame header to indicate an explicit or implicit acknowledgment.

Bennett discloses a method where efficient transmission of data through a low bandwidth link is realized using deferred acknowledgment messages.

Bennett teaches a method further comprising the step of setting a bit in the frame header of the frame to indicate an explicit or an implicit acknowledgment. (See Paragraphs 10, 47, and 69 and also Figure 5. Bennett like the Applicant, as indicated in the Specification on Page 16, Lines 11-14 and Page19, Lines 3-5, refers to implicit acknowledgment to simply not requiring the destination node that received the transmitted frame to transmit a response acknowledging the delivery of the frame.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Gopal's and Kalkunte's method to incorporate the use of bits in a header frame to specify whether explicit or implicit

acknowledgment is required, the motivation being increasing the throughput by minimizing the idle time of the communication link in decreasing the amount of acknowledgment messages sent over the link.

- 7. Claims 4, 5, 9-14, 18, 19, 23-28, 32, 33, 37-42, 46, 47, and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopal et al (Multicasting to Multiple Groups Over Broadcast Channels, IEEE, July 1994, Pages 2423-2431), hereinafter referred to as Gopal, in view of Gopal et al (Point-to-Multipoint Communication Over Broadcast Links, IEEE, September 1984, Pages 1034-1044), hereinafter referred to as Gopal'84.
- 8. Regarding **claims 4, 18, 32, and 46**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 but fails to teach a method further comprising the step of saving a copy of the transmitted frame.

Gopal'84 discloses several reliable protocol for point-to-multipoint communications over broadcast channels.

Gopal'84 discloses a method further comprising the step of saving a copy of the transmitted frame. (See Section C, Last line of 2nd paragraph. See also Figure 4. In Gopal'84's system messages 1,2, and 3 are buffered before the timer for message 1 expires at which time message 1 is either retransmitted or dropped.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of a buffer to save a copy of the transmitted frame, the motivation being increasing the

Art Unit: 2662

throughput and operational speed of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames.

9. Regarding **claims 5, 19, 33, and 47**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 but fails to teach a method of receiving an acknowledgment from two or more destination nodes.

Gopal'84 discloses a method further comprising the step of receiving an acknowledgment from two or more destination nodes. (See Figures 2-4;

Acknowledgments are received from nodes A, B and C for messages 1,2 and 3)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with the ability to receive acknowledgments from two or more destinations, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

10. Regarding **claims 9, 23, 37, and 51**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 5, 19, 33, and 47 but fails to teach a method further comprising the steps of: identifying the particular destination node; identifying a frame associated with the acknowledgment; reading a data structure associated with the frame associated with the acknowledgment; and indicating in an entry in the data structure associated with the particular destination node that a frame associated with the acknowledgment from the particular destination node has been received.

Art Unit: 2662

Gopal'84 discloses a method comprising the steps of: identifying the particular destination node (See Figure 5, box containing "J- Identity of Receiver Sending Ack"); identifying a frame associated with the acknowledgment (See Figure 5, box containing "L – Sequence of messages being Acknowledged); reading a data structure associated with the frame associated with the acknowledgment (See Figure 5, element containing "Is Acknowledgment Error Free"); and indicating in an entry in the data structure associated with the particular destination node that a frame associated with the acknowledgment from the particular destination node has been received (i.e. Gopal'84 indicates in the message data structure the receipt of an acknowledgment from a receiver and to one having ordinary skill in the art this limitation that uses destination node data structure is an obvious variation of Gopal'84's disclosure of using message data structure. See Figure 5 and Section 3 on Page 1036).

Page 7

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with the ability to receive acknowledgments and read the data structures of the Ack messages, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

11. Regarding **claims 10, 24, 38, and 52**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 9, 23, 37, and 51 but fails to teach a

method further comprising the step of determining if there are outstanding responses for the frame associated with the acknowledgment.

Gopal'84 discloses a method further comprising the step of determining if there are outstanding responses for the frame associated with the acknowledgment. (See Figure 5, element containing "Is ACK_OUTSTANDING List of messages Empty?")

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with a feature to check if there are outstanding responses for the frame associated with the acknowledgment, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

12. Regarding **claims 11, 25, 39, and 53**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 10, 24, 38, and 52 but fails to teach a method wherein if there are outstanding responses for the frame associated with the acknowledgment then the method further comprises the step of: waiting to receive an additional acknowledgment.

Gopal'84 discloses wherein if there are outstanding responses for the frame associated with the acknowledgment then the method further comprises the step of: waiting to receive an additional acknowledgment. (See Figure 5)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with a feature to receive additional acknowledgments when there are

outstanding responses, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

13. Regarding **claims 12, 26, 40, and 54**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 10, 24, 38, and 52 but fails to teach a method wherein if there are no outstanding responses for the frame then the method further comprises the step of releasing memory associated with the frame associated with the acknowledgment.

Gopal'84 teaches a method wherein if there are no outstanding responses for the frame then the method further comprises the step of releasing memory associated with the frame associated with the acknowledgment. (See Figure 5 and Section C, 2nd Paragraph; The sole purpose of the buffer is to store data to be retransmitted and if the frame is not to be retransmitted as determined by the received ack message and the empty outstanding response list then the memory has to be released.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with a step of releasing memory associated with the frame associated with the acknowledgment at the appropriate time, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

14. Regarding **claims 13, 14, 27, 28, 41, and 42**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 but fails to

teach a method of receiving a request to retransmit the frame from a particular destination node of the two or more destination nodes; and retransmitting the frame to the particular destination node of the two or more destination nodes and the frame is a multicast frame.

Gopal'84 discloses a method of receiving a request to retransmit the frame from a particular destination node of the two or more destination nodes; and retransmitting the frame to the particular destination node of the two or more destination nodes and the frame is a multicast frame. (See Figure 5. The lack of sending an Ack message by the receiver can be interpreted as a request for re-transmit. All frames in Gopal'84's system are multicast frames.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with a method of receiving request to retransmit frames, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

15. Claims 6, 7, 20, 21, 34, 35, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopal et al (Multicasting to Multiple Groups Over Broadcast Channels, IEEE, July 1994, Pages 2423-2431), hereinafter referred to as Gopal, in view of Gopal et al (Point-to-Multipoint Communication Over Broadcast Links, IEEE, September 1984, Pages 1034-1044), hereinafter referred to as Gopal'84, and Kawan et al (US 5, 572, 572), hereinafter referred to as Kawan.

16. **Regarding claims 6, 20, 34, and 48**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 but fails to teach a method further comprising the step of identifying particular destination node; identifying a frame associated with the acknowledgment; reading a data structure associated with the particular destination node.

Gopal'84 teaches a method that includes the steps of identifying particular destination node (See Gopal'84, Figure 5, box containing "J- Identity of Receiver Sending Ack"); identifying a frame associated with the acknowledgment (See Gopal'84, Figure 5, box containing "L – Sequence of messages being Acknowledged); reading a data structure associated with the particular destination node (See Figure 5; Gopal'84 discloses a data structure associated with every message L and to one ordinarily skilled in the art this limitation is an obvious variation of Gopal'84's disclosure)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with the ability to identify a destination node and associate received acknowledgment with the identified destination node, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

Gopal further fails to disclose a method of determining if a sequence number associated with the acknowledgment is greater than an expected sequence number.

Art Unit: 2662

Kawan discloses an apparatus that is configured both as a telephone and a computer and uses ARQ protocol in communicating to the network.

Kawan teaches a method of determining if a sequence number associated with the acknowledgment is greater than an expected sequence number. (i.e. Kawan discloses if the transmitting device has stored one or more messages with higher sequence numbers than that of the last received acknowledgment then those messages with greater sequence number are retransmitted. Therefore Kawan teaches sequence number manipulation with the goal of re-transmitting data whenever acknowledgments are not received including cases of "lost Acks" (See Column 21, Lines 7-21))

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with the ability to check sequence numbers received are greater than an expected sequence number, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

17. Regarding **claims 7, 21, 35, and 49**, Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejections of claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 but does not disclose a method wherein if the sequence number associated with the acknowledgment is greater than the expected sequence number then the method further comprises the step of detecting a lost acknowledgment.

Art Unit: 2662

Kawan teaches a method wherein if the sequence number associated with the acknowledgment is greater than the expected sequence number then the method further comprises the step of detecting a lost acknowledgment. (Kawan detects lost acknowledgment and accounts the cases when the received sequence number is greater than or less than or equal to the expected sequence number. See Column 21, Lines 7-21)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Gopal's and Gopal'84's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with the ability to check sequence numbers received are greater than an expected sequence number, the motivation being increasing the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

18. Claims 8, 22, 36 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gopal et al (Multicasting to Multiple Groups Over Broadcast Channels, IEEE, July 1994, Pages 2423-2431), hereinafter referred to as Gopal, in view of Gopal et al (Point-to-Multipoint Communication Over Broadcast Links, IEEE, September 1984, Pages 1034-1044), hereinafter referred to as Gopal'84, and Bennett et al (US 2005/0021832), hereinafter referred to as Bennett.

Gopal teaches all aspects of the claimed invention as set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 15, 29, and 43 but fails to teach a method further comprising the step of identifying particular destination node; identifying a frame associated with the

acknowledgment; reading a data structure associated with the particular destination node.

Gopal'84 teaches a method that includes the steps of identifying particular destination node (See Gopal'84, Figure 5, box containing "J- Identity of Receiver Sending Ack"); identifying a frame associated with the acknowledgment (See Gopal'84, Figure 5, box containing "L - Sequence of messages being Acknowledged); reading a data structure associated with the particular destination node (i.e. Gopal'84 in Figure 5 indicates by reading the message data structure the receipt of an acknowledgment from a receiver and to one having ordinary skill in the art this limitation that uses destination node data structure is an obvious variation of Gopal'84's disclosure of using message data structure . See Figure 5 and Section 3 on Page 1036); indicating in an entry in the data structure associated with the particular destination node that a frame associated with the acknowledgment from the particular destination node has been received; (i.e. Gopal'84 indicates in the message data structure the receipt of an acknowledgment from a receiver and this limitation that replaces the message data structure with a destination node data structure is an obvious variation of Gopal'84's disclosure. See Figure 5 and Section 3 on Page 1036)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gopal's method and apparatus to incorporate the use of ARQ protocol with the ability to identify a destination node and associate received acknowledgment with the identified destination node, the motivation being increasing

the reliability of a network involved in forwarding different types of data including multicast frames by guaranteeing error free in sequence delivery of frames.

Gopal further fails to disclose a method of identifying a previous entry associated with a frame transmitted with an implicit acknowledgment as having been received.

Bennett discloses a method of identifying a previous entry associated with a frame transmitted with an implicit acknowledgment as having been received. (See Paragraphs 10, 47, and 69 and also Figure 5.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify of Gopal's method to incorporate the use of identifying a previous entry associated with a frame transmitted with an implicit acknowledgment as having been received, the motivation being increasing the throughput by minimizing the idle time of the communication link in decreasing the amount of acknowledgment messages sent over the link.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following US Patent Application Publication and US Patent are cited to show the state of the art with respect to Limited ARQ and buffer management in ARQ Protocol:

US Pub. No. (2002/0034182) to Mallory

US (4654654) to Buttler et al

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Habte Mered whose telephone number is 571 272 6046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571 272 3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HM 07-15-2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600